Sign in with your lexis.com® ID to

access the full text of this article.

Sign In

Order the full text of this article if you do not have a *lexis.com* ® ID.

Order

Yahoo!® Currency Converter

Price: US \$15.00 (+ tax)

Subject to Terms & Conditions

-OR-



Copyright (c) 1997 Law and Inequality Law and Inequality

ARTICLE: Once Bitten, Twice Bitten: The Minnesota Court of Appeals Limits the Recovery of Sex Abuse Victims in Oelschlager v. Magnuson

Winter, 1997 15 Law & Ineq. 191

Author

By Douglas McGhee *

Excerpt

Introduction

David Oelschlager, a member of Redeemer Covenant Church (Redeemer), was sexually abused by Redeemer pastor Albert Magnuson from September 1972 until June 1982. ¹ Magnuson abused Oelschlager while providing religious and emotional counseling to him. ² In 1991, Oelschlager used Minnesota's delayed discovery statute to file a personal injury action against Magnuson in Hennepin County District Court. ³ Under the theory of respondeat superior, he also brought claims against Redeemer and two of its governing bodies, the Northwest Conference of the Evangelical Church of America and the Evangelical Covenant Church of America. ⁴ At trial, the jury found Magnuson liable. Redeemer was therefore liable for Magnuson's actions under respondeat superior because his actions were found to be within the scope of his employment. ⁵ Redeemer appealed the decision, and the Minnesota Court of Appeals held that respondeat superior claims are not within the scope of Minnesota's delayed discovery statute. ⁶ Accordingly, Oelschlager's claim against Redeemer was untimely, and the Court of Appeals reversed the trial court's decision. 7

Minnesota's delayed discovery statute extends the statute of limitations for survivors of sex abuse who have repressed their memories of the abuse. The doctrine of respondeat superior imputes liability to employers of tortfeasors. After the Oelschlager decision, however, sex abuse victims who could ordinarily sue employers using both the delayed discovery statute and respondeat superior are denied use of the doctrine. ⁸

This Comment reveals the infirmities in the Oelschlager court's decision to exclude respondeat superior claims from the \dots

If you are interested in obtaining a lexis.com® ID and Password, please contact us at 1-(800)-227-4908 or visit us at http://www.lexisnexis.com/.

Browse Law Reviews and Treatises | Return to Previous Site



Search Lexis® Web - Heta Search Lexis® Web - The only search engine that delivers free web

content specifically from legal sites validated by LexisNexis® attorney editors and includes tools for faster research and more relevant results.









Join a LexisNexis® practice area community and explore the latest news, trends, issues and the professionals who are making these happen.

LexisNexis® Products

LexisNexis® Services at www.lexis.com

LexisNexis® Store

LexisNexis® Web Communities

Terms & Conditions | Privacy Policy | Copyright © 2014 LexisNexis, a division of Reed Elsevier, Inc. All rights reserved.

1 of 1 1/19/2014 10:44 PM